

The Times Dispatch

THE TIMES FOUNDED 1884.
THE DISPATCH FOUNDED 1869.

WHOLE NUMBER 17,775.

RICHMOND, VA., TUESDAY, JANUARY 19, 1909.

THE WEATHER TO-DAY—Cloudy.

PRICE TWO CENTS.

WOULD NOT DELAY PLANS FOR ARMORY

Council Concurs With Board,
Structure to Stand on Site
Already Named.

NEW ORDINANCE FOR CONSOLIDATION

Amended Playground Measure
Approved, Seabrook Being Left
Out—Electric Light Plans to
Be Examined—To Invite
Officers and Men
of Fleet.

WITHOUT a dissenting vote, the Common Council, in special session, last night, concurred with the Board of Aldermen in the amendment to the playground ordinance, striking out Seabrook Warehouse and substituting the same appropriation—\$2,000—for a plot on a site yet to be selected, between Eighteenth and Twenty-fifth and Marshall and Franklin Streets. Without debate the ordinance as amended was concurred in 31 to 0, and the Mayor for approval. The appropriation for the establishment and maintenance of the playgrounds is to be included in the annual budget for this year.

More of a fight developed over concurrence in the award of the contract for the Blue Army, although this also won on the final vote. The Council adopted the bid for a non-fireproof construction, but in the upper branch the measure was committed to the Finance Committee, and came back with a total appropriation of \$122,325, and the award of contract for a fireproof building to John T. Wilson, for \$115,000, the balance remaining the architect's fees of 5 per cent, the construction to be under supervision of Averill & Hall, of Washington.

Fight to Change It Falls.
Mr. Richards led an unavailing fight against the location of the armory at Sixth and Marshall, saying that the plans called for a solid wall twenty-seven inches from the front of three houses, and that an effort to build on this lot would lead to endless litigation. He advocated the tabling of the resolution and the acquisition of the property at Eighth and Marshall Streets, adjoining the old High School building, proposing the ultimate erection of an auditorium and market when the High School building is abandoned.

Captain Tucker urged immediate action. "For two years," he said, "the battalion has been drilling in the streets in all kinds of weather, and its equipment is stored in rooms over a restaurant. If this matter is further delayed you will soon have no battalion to use the armory when it is erected. The legal tangle can be straightened out in a short time. If you are going to build this armory, then build it."

If delayed again, I, for one, will never mention the Blues again on this floor. Two years is certainly time enough even for this body to consider a proposition."

Captain Tucker's speech carried everything before it. Mr. Richards' motion to table being lost. The Council then fell into line, and the Council concurred in the award of contract unanimously, and by the same vote in the appropriation of \$122,325 for the erection of the building. The proposition now goes to the Mayor for approval, being the first time it has reached Mayor Richmond. It was before the former Mayor in one shape or another several times.

To Inspect Light Plans.
After prolonged discussion the Council concurred in the action of the upper branch in directing the Board of Public Works to secure the services of an expert electrical and hydraulic engineer to review the plans and calculations of Engineer Trafford for the proposed electric plant before their adoption, and appropriate \$10,000 for the cost, the opinion and report to be submitted within ninety days.

Mr. Mills led the opposition, saying he had no desire to cut off any avenue of communication, but that the matter had been under discussion in one shape or another for twelve years, and now how or other always seemed to meet with a delay.

Mr. Tucker said just now that he could understand the delays in this body. This electric plant project has met with unusual delays. What is the cause? Well, perhaps I ought not to say. But what is the effect? The city is paying the Passenger and Power Company for lights, and at the same time paying \$10,000 a year interest on bonds already sold for the purpose of building this plant. I think it is little late in the day to ask that these plans be gone into again.

Peters Opposed Delay.
President Peters vacated the chair to speak in opposition to concurrence, saying that if there ever had been a time when Richmond needed an armory it was at present, when the company has refused to serve its service into the annexed territory.

Why get an expert to check an expert? he said. "It looks to me like an endless chain of delays. If the Board of Aldermen wants to hold up the insurance fund, to insure to the city, let it do it, and let it take the responsibility."

I hope this body will not at this late date spend any money for no other use than to defend the erection of the plant, when our wives and daughters are being almost murdered at our doors for want of light in our streets."

Captain Tucker spoke in favor of concurrence, saying no reflection was aimed at our Engineer Trafford or his plans. "Even if it were, it is the business of the Council to do what is right, without regard to the feelings of individuals. If Mr. Trafford is the man I think he is, he will make no objection. I don't say there is anything wrong with the plans, but two heads are better than one. If we have already waited twelve years a further delay of ninety days will not greatly matter. As the expense is a mere insurance fund, to insure to the city, let it do it, and let it take the responsibility."

After further Mr. E. C. Pollock ended the discussion by pointing out that if the Council failed to concur, it (Continued on Page Two—Column Five).

TO RELEASE PIGEONS

Carrier Birds Will Convey News of
[Special to The Times-Dispatch.]
WILMINGTON, DEL., January 18.—One of the latest fashions in connection with the launching of the battleship Delaware at Newport News on February 19th will be the releasing of homing pigeons from the bow of the vessel as the stern moves toward the water, and with envelopes about their necks they will carry the tidings to the people in the city. The idea has been suggested by an active member of the Board of Trade, and the idea is a decidedly novel one, and will be something to connect with the launching, far as Delawareans are concerned.

Miss Anne Pennewill Cahall, of Baltimore, who has been designated given her being the sponsor of the largest battleship ever built by the United States government, and which will surpass all the English navy.

Woodsbury a Suicide
Famous Beauty Doctor Kills Himself at
Coney Island Home.

NEW YORK, January 18.—John H. Woodsbury, who had been engaged for some years in the business of removing facial deformities and other disfigurements, committed suicide in the Sea Cliff Inn, at Coney Island, by shooting himself in the head and abdomen.

It is believed that Mr. Woodsbury had been much worried by suits brought against him by several persons, who alleged that his treatment had been harmful.

At the offices of the "Facial Cultivating Company," it was said Woodsbury was in good health and spirits when he last visited the place on last Sunday.

Woodsbury had been separated from his wife for some time, and since the separation he had lived at the Sea Cliff, which he owned. He is believed at one time to have been worth \$100,000.

ASK REHEARING

Shipping and Transportation Interests
in State of Uncertainty.

WASHINGTON, D. C., January 18.—A petition for rehearing in the re-bidding cases of the Chicago and Alton Railway Company and the Chicago and North Western Railway Company, in which the court on an even decision recently affirmed a decision imposing a fine of \$50,000 on the Chicago and North Western Railway Company, was filed to-day in the Supreme Court of the United States.

The railroad attorneys represented that the decision was a departure from the precedent of the Supreme Court's decision. E. H. Harriman is greatly interested in the case, and yesterday visited the Supreme Court building with the preparation of the rehearing petition.

ASKS REMOVAL

Widow of Yerkes Claims the Executor
Has Mismanaged Estate.

CHICAGO, January 18.—Mary Adelaide Yerkes, widow of Charles T. Yerkes, filed a petition in the Probate Court to-day asking the removal of Louis S. Owley as executor of the Yerkes estate. She alleges that he has wasted and mismanaged the assets, and has been fraudulent.

Mr. Yerkes lays especial stress on the disposition made of \$1,494,000 of bonds, the proceeds of which were sold to the estate, and which he claims were sold at a loss of \$100,000.

The secretary suggested, however, in a letter to President Thompson that the proposition should be brought to the attention of the Department of Commerce and Labor, whose province is to investigate foreign fields for extending American commerce.

INSULT TO FLAG

Representative Hitchcock Wants Inform-
ation About Bohemian Incident.

WASHINGTON, D. C., January 18.—Representative Hitchcock, of Nebraska, has introduced a resolution in the Senate for the Secretary of State for any information he may have concerning an encounter in the city of Prague, Bohemia, between the police authorities and certain citizens bearing an American flag. The resolution calls for a struggle the police seized and trampled it in the mud. Mr. Hitchcock wants the secretary to make a full investigation into the matter, and to obtain apology or reparation for the insult to the flag, if such there were.

LUMBER FOR MESSINA

Naval Collier Caesar Will Take on
Cargo From Norfolk.

WOULD NOT WRITE THEM TO CHRIST

Dr. Chapman Suddenly Dis-
misses Vast Audience After
Eloquent Discourse.

IMPRESSIVE SERVICE HAS DRAMATIC ENDING

Five Thousand People, Sent
Home While Heads Were
Bent in Prayer—Evangelist
Takes "The Unpardonable
Sin" as Theme Preach-
ing Best Sermon.

E LOQUENTLY and forcibly, the Rev. J. Wilbur Chapman, D. D., last night filled the City Auditorium to perist in rejecting Jesus Christ. This, he declared, is the sin for which it is written by God there is no forgiveness, and those guilty of it doomed to eternal damnation.

When he had finished his address, he bowed before him to bow their heads to receive the benediction and afterwards pass out without speaking.

"I want all of you to go home to your mothers and your wives and ask them to lead you to salvation and save you from this great sin. I do not want to give any one of you another chance to reject Christ, and therefore there will be no after meeting. You will all go home."

"Almost Persuaded."
Dr. Chapman was so filled with emotion that when he started to pronounce the benediction several seconds passed before he could utter a word. When it had been said the thousands sat in silence for fully half a minute, every eye being focused upon the evangelist.

Slowly and quietly the men, women and children put on their coats and wraps, and passed out into the streets. The choir of 600 voices, under the direction of Mr. Charles M. Alexander, sang softly, "Almost Persuaded." With bowed head Dr. Chapman sat in the chair in the elevated speaker's platform until the building was almost empty.

This unexpected and dramatic ending of the meeting made it the most impressive since the commencement of the Chapman-Alexander campaign on January 12. "The Unpardonable Sin" was the subject discussed by Dr. Chapman, and the sermon moved his hearers more than any he has delivered in Richmond. For nearly an hour he held the crowd, and every second of his voice was heard in the sound of his voice.

RIGHT UNDETERMINED

Judge Ward Awaits Briefs in the Cele-
brated Newspaper Cases.

NEW YORK, January 18.—The right of the five employees of the New York World, subpoenaed to appear before the Federal grand jury at New York, to publish the contents of the subpoena, is the subject of a dispute between the United States government and the newspaper.

After arguments by United States District Attorney Henry L. Stimson, representing the government, and by the five employees, the grand jury, Judge Henry G. Ward gave both sides until tomorrow to submit briefs.

While the proceedings are believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the French Panama Canal Company by the United States government, there is nothing in the subpoena issued, nor any other part of the proceedings, to indicate that the inquiry is about whom the action is believed to have been brought upon the initiative of President Roosevelt and in connection with the publication of a story by the